

It has long been one of the Jewish methods in the attainment of world domination to penetrate into privileged circles where political power is greatest: Edward the First, by expelling the Jews in 1290, saved us from too early an application of this process in Britain, but the other countries were less fortunate and suffered the extinction of their nobility by Jewish women marrying into the Gentile aristocratic families.

In Britain a few "damped" (baptized Christian) Jews remained in the country when their synagogue-going brothers had been expelled.

Some of these attained knighthood, for instance, Sir Edward Brampton, who became Governor of Guernsey. The first serious attempt, however, to penetrate the ranks of the hereditary Titleholders of England seems to have been an attack upon royalty itself by that notorious character, Perkin Warbeck, who was a servant of the knight mentioned above.

With characteristic Jewish effrontery, this man claimed the English throne.

Francis Bacon wrote in his Life of King Henry the VII: "There was a townsman of Tourney that had born office in that town, whose name was John Osbeck, a convert Jew, married to Catherine de Faro; whose business drew him to live for a time with his wife at London, in King Edward IV's days.

During which time he had a son by her; and being known in court, the King either out of religious nobleness, because he was a convert, or upon some private acquaintance, did him the honor as to be godfather to his child. and named him Peter.

But afterwards proving a dainty and effeminate youth, he was commonly called by the diminutive of his name. Peterkin or Perkin. For, as for the name of Warbeck, it was given him when they did but guess at it, before examinations had been taken.

For how many of us at school realized that Perkin Warbeck was a figure in the Jewish world plot against us, Sovereignty?

As everyone knows, Cromwell allowed the Jews to return and they flocked over here toward the latter part of the seventeenth century, the largest wave of rich Jews coming over with William III from Holland.

In 1718 the Attorney General, Sir R. Raymond, hammered another nail into his country's coffin. By deciding that Jews could hold land in England. Walpole had previously allowed the Jew Gideon to hold estates by a special act in his favor: this Jew had lent his "credit" to the government (!) which seems not to have realized that it had a lot of its own.

And falsely posing as a Christian, for he never ceased his payments to the synagogue and died a Jew, he married a Gentile, his son being created a baronet and later Baron, Eardley, a title now fortunately extinct. The first synagogue Jew baronets were Sir I. L. Goldsmid (1841), Sir Moses Montefiore, and Sir Anthony de Rothschild; these seem to

have qualified for British aristocracy by using their ill-gotten wealth in buying privileges for the Jews in this and other countries.

It was Sir Issac . Goldsmid who led the movement for the admission of the Jews to our legislature. Once the barrier was down the rest was easy.

In 1858 legislation enabled the foreign "baron" Lionel de Rothschild to take his oath in the house of Commons as a Jew. His son was raised to the peerage in 1885, the "damped" Jew Disraeli had of course obtained earlier honors, dying as the Earl of Beaconsfield. Among the earlier Synagogue baronet creations were those of Sir George Jessel, Sir David Salomans, and Sir John Simon (no relation to the living Sir John Simon, who claims not to be Jewish).

British peers sometimes made matters worse by marrying Jewesses, one of the most disastrous cases being that of the second Viscount Galway, who married as early as 1747 a Jewess called Villa Real, as a result of which countless fine old British families have had this Asiatic strain instilled into them.

It was a Rothschild plan to marry superfluous daughters into the families of influential Gentiles; in this case of the Rothschild unions with Baron Battersea and the son of the fourth Earl of Hardwicke, the marriages were sterile, but a daughter of Mayer Amschel Rothschild married the fifth Earl of Rosebery, so that there is Rothschild blood in the present earl, one of whose sisters married the present Marquis of Crewe, himself with Villa Real blood: thus after many days, the blood of the Villa real Jewess mingles with that of the Rothschild in the issue of this marriage of "British Aristocrats".

This custom of mating with Jewesses now become a common one; the instinct of the Aryan has been broken down by continued propaganda, and H. Belloc in his book on The Jews writes of the Jewish penetration of our great aristocratic families: "With the opening of the twentieth century, those of the great territorial English families in which there was no Jewish blood were the exception.

In nearly all of them the strain was more or less marked, in some of them so strong that though the name was still English and the tradition that of a purely English lineage of the long past, the physique and character had become wholly Jewish and the members of the family were taken for Jews whenever they traveled in countries where the gentry had not yet suffered or enjoyed this mixture."

A study of the "society" photographers in any copy of The Bystander will convince anyone that Mr. Belloc does not exaggerate.

To those of us who believe that Bretisation is civilization, it is incomprehensible that our aristocrats could succumb to the cunning Masonic and educational Jewish propaganda designed to cause them to forget their nation.

Nevertheless the opposition, to Jewish penetration into the great families has not been expressed in any decided way: Masonry is no doubt responsible for this - Queen

Victoria herself had qualms about granting titles to Jews, because we know that when it was recommended to her that Sir Lionel Rothschild should be promoted to the peerage, she wrote as follows in a letter dated November 1 1869 to Mr. Gladstone: "It is not only the feeling of which she cannot divest herself, against making a person of Jewish religion a peer, but she can not think that one who owes his great wealth to contracts with foreign governments for loans or to successful speculation on the stock exchange can fairly claim a British peerage."

"However high Sir L. Rothschild may stand personally in public estimation, this seems to be not the less a species of gambling because it is on a gigantic scale and far removed from that legitimate trading which she delights to honor, in which men have raised themselves by patient industry and unswerving probity to positions of wealth and influence."

Her sound instincts, or "prejudices" as they would be called nowadays in our Judaized press, were however broken down by Disraeli and we have now reached such a pitch that in 1932 the Jews were seriously planning to get the chief rabbi into the House of Lords, ex-officio.

In 1915 a daughter of the fourth Baron Sheffield married the Jew Edwin Montague, the disturber of Indian "pathetic contentment," and actually "embraced Judaism" also in the religious sense. There was more excuse for Lord George Gordon of the 1780 anti-Popery campaign, who adopted the Jewish religion but died insane.

In the following review of our titled aristocracy, there are many errors of omission; working chiefly with Burke's Peerage, we find a reticence on the subject of Jewish "relativity" in our nobility; in other words, the volume seems designed to baffle the investigator as much as possible.

For that reason and also because the time at our disposal for this research is strictly limited, the review is far from complete, but it is sufficiently terrible to a racist as it is.

Every effort has been made to avoid errors of commission; we do not desire to hurt the feelings of anyone, but the British people have a right to know the truth; where ever we have insufficient evidence in suspicious cases, the benefit of the doubt has been given, we hope, in many future editions, to be able to make our lists more complete.

The excellent library of the Society of Genealogists could not produce a single volume which was of direct help in collecting this material for this pamphlet, which we hope, therefore, will find a place on its shelves; but we think something will prevent it getting there.

It may be of interest to mention that Debrett's Peerage is published by the same Jew firm Odhams Press, Ltd, which runs the Daily Herald.

Sir Sidney Lee (Jew) edited the Dictionary of National Biography, so we get little help from those. And the Jew, M. Epstein, edits the Annual Register.

We have omitted from our list certain cases where Jewesses have married into noble families in which there the titles are now extinct or from which marriages there was no issue.

In our investigations we found that the statement made by J. M. Macdiarmid in *The Deer Forests* that the Leveson-Gower family was descended from "a London Jew money lender" is false; the name "Levenson" in this case has no Jewish application.

Also the statement made in the *Jewish Daily Post* June 17, 1935, that the present Duchess of Norfolk has Jewish blood is untrue. she is the second wife of the last duke and mother of the present duke; and it was the first wife of the last duke, who left no heir, who had Jewish blood. The *Universe* is in error in stating (Feb. 4, 1937) that the Marquis of Bute is descended from the Jew Treves.

Many Jewish titles, sometimes camouflaged like those of Barons Wandsworth (real name, Stern) and Pirbright (real name, De Worms), are fortunately extinct. Extinct, also is the baronetcy of Sir Edward Speyer, which was revoked by a notice in *The Times* of December 14, 1921 on account of his unlawful communicating and trading with the enemy during the war.

The effect even a slight mixture of Jewish blood in an English family is often very great. It alters the political outlook of the individual because it alters the instincts themselves.

"One Jew ancestor a long way back will undo your Anglo-Saxon composition, or which you may claim an unbroken line of purely Essex stock, more thoroughly than if all your ancestors, from your parents back had been Dutch, German, Swede, Dane, French, Russian, Portuguese, Italian or any other easily assimilated race." W. Gerbardt in *Memories of a Polyglot*, 1931.

When a large number of individuals in commanding social or political positions are rendered partly Asiatic in instinct, the nation itself becomes the victim of these destructive instincts.

Referring to Colonel Lane's book *The Alien Menace*, the nation itself becomes the victim of those destructive instincts.

"English men and women are constantly asking how it comes about that a twist is so frequently given to British policy that is clearly not in accordance with British interests.

There is usually someone in a position, at the psychological moment, to deflect our government, whatever party be in power, into some line of action that is unintelligible at the time and is fraught with disastrous consequences. \* \* \* It is as though some hostile influence were steadily throwing grit into the machine.

In every international financial arrangement we fare badly, and the whole story of reparations and war debts is humiliating in the extreme and calculated to make us the

world's laughing stock, as well as the world's milk cow.

It is in this connection that such a book as Colonel Lane has written \*\*\*\* throws a timely searchlight. It is in the higher ranks of society that the alien menace is formidable through the influence exercised in government departments in Downing Street and high finance by gentry of unmistakably foreign origin."

#### Hereditary Titleholders of Jewish Blood

(Editors Note. This book was written in 1937 and was inserted in the Congressional Record in August 1940. Because of the rapid inroads made into English Nobility in the intervening years, only the total numbers of Jewish lineage in each rank will be listed. The more a lineage becomes intermarried with Jews the faster it becomes totally Jewish. It is now almost 60 years since this book was written and doubtless Jewish inroads into the aristocracy has considerably increased.)

2 Dukes        1 Baroness  
7 Marquis     1 Dowager  
7 Earls        53 Sirs  
17 Barons

As though that were not enough, the following noblemen and Baronets now holding their titles have married women of Jewish blood; their heirs, if any by descent from these, will be Jewish aristocrats.

1 Duke        3 Viscounts  
2 2 Marquis   10 Barons  
3 Earls       22 Sirs

We are convinced that if we could get proof of certain facts regarding the relationships of other titled families, we could more than double the above list.

Here is a "mixed pickle" of Jewish relationships which we print, not because these cases necessarily influence the titled people mentioned in every instance, as some of them may detest the connection, but to show how intimate the Jewish penetration has become (marriages or Jewish connections, ed) the author gives the names of the following and certain other details.

1 Duke        11 Viscounts  
4 Marquis    10 Barons  
10 Earls

In many of the above cases, the heir to the title is involved. Once more, we must emphasize how incomplete the above list still is.

We do not know exactly how to classify Baron Strabolgi, formerly Commander Kenworthy, Socialist M.P. But the Daily telegraph of April 16, 1934 is less cautious and includes him in a list of what it described as the "leaders of the British Jewry".

Lord Strabolgi looks Jewish, boosts the Jewish nation at every opportunity and reacts like a Jew, and once was director of the European & Caucasian Export & Import Co. Which as The Patriot states, May 23, 1929 had a capital of 2,200 pounds and "could be nothing but an intermediary between the Soviet that found the banking security and the manufacturers who sold the goods."

10 Sirs

Lord Marley is another baron who appears to be a little shy as to his ancestry; Burke (Peerage) simply reveals him to be the grandson of George Joachim Aman. But Lord Marley's actions show that, what ever he may be, he cannot regard it libelous to be described at least as an "artificial Jew" he spends much of his time boosting Jews and Jew interests.

There are scores of other "lords" who are far too shy to reveal even their mother's names. Surely it is an important thing that the British Democrat should know something of the origins of, say, Barons Arnold, Passfield, and Snell, seeing that they hold prominent positions in the affairs of our country.

Then there is Baron Ashfield, whose father changed his name form Knaties to Stanley. This fact is not given in Burkes Peerage, and we should like to know if he was a Jew.

Have we not a right to know?

What is the use of a peerage reference book which does not tell where Jewish blood comes in?

A Statement made by Mr. Shane Leslie that Lord Curzon of Kedleston had a Jewish grandmother, and repeated by B. Falk in He Laughed in Fleet Street has, we understand from Mr. Leslie himself been withdrawn by him. We do not know whether or not it should have been withdrawn.

For over 14 years she had counted Lady Rothschild as her "best friend" ,said Lady Snowden, on March 19 at the Jubilee appeal for the Jewish Association for the Protection of Girls at Grosvenor House, Ark Lane.

The Earls of Listowel and of Warwick helped to direct the Jewish Daily Post of London, which has since gone into liquidation.

According to the Jewish Chronicle of May 10, Lord Winterton stated in the House of Commons, May 7, that although he was not aware of any Jewish blood among his ancestors, he would be very proud of it if there was. Faugh!

Lady Diana Cooper's child had as its godfather the late Otto Kahn, of Kuhn and Loeb & Co.

The Balfours, Cecils, Churchills, Lyttons, Russell, and Stanleys seem to have a sort of

hereditary lack of Aryan good taste in favoring Jews.

Lady Patricia Moore, daughter of the tenth Earl of Drogheda served as head of a committee of the British Association of Maccabees in 1933, together with the careerist, Mr. Randolph Churchill. This is an exclusively Jewish National Organization and we do not know what these two were doing there.

Adultery with Jews accounts for certain cases where individuals of obvious Asiatic race suddenly appear in old Aryan families of nobility. The usual chain of circumstances in these cases was described in The Fascist of May 1934, in an article called A Side line of Usury. It is the greater scandal that these cases may not here be advertised so that the Eurasian progeny might be chivvied out of our British aristocracy, which they must permanently contaminate.

These Jew-features cross-breeds often further betray themselves by their instinctive leanings toward Marxism and finance and by a preference and sympathy for Jewish company and Jewish causes. They often live under the perpetual shadow of Jewish blackmail. Generally speaking, when people of Aryan family look like Jews, they are Jewish.

#### Knights of England

The list of Jewish knights which follows gives no real idea of the Jewish contamination of the once-prized honor of knighthood. It is far more difficult to get at the ancestry of holders of non-hereditary titles than it is of the others. It is easier for your Jewish knight to camouflage himself, and there is no doubt whatever that here are as many Marrano Jews today in this country working for Jewry under Christianized names and under the Christian religion as ever there were in Spain and Portugal. We include in the list below only names of living knights of undoubted Jewish blood; we know there are scores of others, and we may be able to add to the list in future editions, particularly if our readers will assist us in the business of identification.

The appointments mentioned here are either past or present ones.

122 Knights

Widows of Jewish knights. with title of Lady.

24 Ladies

So there is something rotten in Denmark.

We ask our readers to join us and to help rouse what is left of the great British Nation to race-consciousness. No man or woman can escape the responsibility which the knowledge given in this pamphlet forces upon them. The tasks cannot be left to future generations, because every generation will be more Judaized than the one before it. The great Jew-wise reformer, William Cobbett thus addressed the nobility of his day (about 1827) in his letter to the Nobility of England: "You feel \* \* \* that you are not the men your grandfathers were; but you have come into your present state by slow

degrees, and therefore you cannot tell, even to yourselves, not only how the change has come about, but you cannot tell what sort of change it really is. However \* \* \* when you reflect that your grandfathers would as soon have thought of dining with a chimney sweep than dining with a Jew or with any huckstering reptile who has amassed money by watching the turn of the market; that those grandfathers would have thought it no dishonor at all to sit at table with farmers, or even with laborers, that they would have shunned the usurious tribe of loan jobbers, and other notorious changers of money as they would have shunned the whirlwind or the pestilence.

Gentlemen, You have been warned - keep troth